## ROINN army Jensions Board

Fó-Roinn.

Tá annso, leis,

NÁ CUIRTEAR ÉANTUAIRISC AR AN GCLÚDACH SO.

An Uimhir.

An Gnó.

52/ ars / 264

An Uimhir ag Roinn Eile.

Radford Bernard +me mary murrintown,

bo. Wesford.

F. C. 1.

Do cuireadh chun	Dáta.	Do cuireadh chun	Dáta.	Do cuireadh chun	Dáta.
					••••••
			•		
					••••••
					•••••
				•••••	••••••
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••		•••••••	
		Managa C	or	vice	••••••
	he				•••••••••
•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(9566).Wt.3381—1515.3 <sup>-</sup> 0.000.12/32. <b>A</b> .T.&C	0. Ltd. (Sch 18				

LEATHÁN MIONTUAIRISCE.

M.S.B.

Jonn 14 \$ 12/7 8/33

Tagairt.....

## Military Archives

Jorn 16 16 Cr6 3 3 35 Red . 29 35

hoard's refort



Pensions Collection

## ARMY PENSIONS ACT, 1932.

REPORT BY THE ARMY PENSIONS BOARD ON AN APPLICATION FOR AN ALLOWANCE OR GRATUITY UNDER SECTION 12 OF THE ARMY PENSIONS ACT, 1932, IN RESPECT OF A PERSON (OTHER THAN A PERSON KILLED WHILE ENGAGED

IN MILITARY SERVICE). Ref. No. 57/28/264 To the Minister for Defence. To the Army Pensions Board. The following members of the Army I am directed by the Minister for Defence Pensions Board attended: to transmit the accompanying application for investigation and report in accordance with the Army Pensions Board (Investigation of Applications under Part II of the Army Pensions Act, 1932) Regulations, 1933. The relevant service certificate is also sent herewith. The report should take the form of replies to the questions set out herewith. W. P. Blunden The report of the Board is as follows:-(Where the findings of the Board are Date 14-10-33 not unanimous, separate reports signed by the individual members of the Board should be furnished.) Application of Bernard mary Radford Murrintown bo Wenford. Chairman of the Board. in respect of the death of their son Bernard Radford 1. What was the cause of the death of the deceased? 2. From what disablement did he suffer? 3. (In case it appears from the service certificate that the deceased received a wound or injury while engaged in military service) was the death of the deceased due to the wound stated in the service certificate issued in respect of the deceased to have been received by him while engaged in military service? 4. (In case it appears from the service certificate that the deceased contracted a disease during his military service) was the death of the deceased due to the disease stated in service certificate issued in

respect of the deceased to have been contracted by him during his military service? 5. Were any of the disabilities under 2 due to any serious negligence or misconduct on the part of the deceased person?

ho.

6. Were there any special circumstances connected with this case which the Board consider should be taken into consideration by the Minister?

In-he fast the two true of the applicab were killer.

7. What was the nature of the dependency of the applicants?

Parhal

8. Were there any persons other than the applicant dependent on the deceased? If so, state names and addresses and nature of dependency.

Le bond having commined his conce of opinion that the applicants were harpall dependent on the decement theory referred to the Spacial arindstonas & in the case towners that a frability 1775 (knowly five hours) he awarder.

hole. - Lee also claim i respect of som huichard

Military Service
Pensions Collection

ARMY PENSIONS BOARD. ST. BRICIN'S HOSPITAL. DUBLIN.

52/APB/264-265.

3rd January 1935.

The Officer. Customs and Excise. 2nd Station. WEXFORD.

With reference to your report, herewith, in the cases of Mr. Bernard and Mrs. Mary Radford, Murrintown, Co. Wexford, who have made application under the Army Pensions Act, 1932, in respect of their deceased sons, Bernard and Michael Radford, I am directed by the Army Pensions Board to request that you will be good enough to state whether the applicants, or either of them, as in receipt of Old Age Pensions, and if so, at what rate and from what date.

Please be roll and for Col offer dates
1/35. Heary per 1/35 ction.